## LETTER TO THE EDITOR



## COMMENTS ON "ANALYSIS OF <sup>60</sup>Co GAMMA-RAY TRANSPORT THROUGH AIR BY DISCRETE-ORDINATES CODES"

In Ref. 1 Sasamoto and Takeuchi reported on the application of the discrete ordinates transport codes DOT-III and PALLAS-2DCY to determine the radiation field from <sup>60</sup>Co point sources in the air aboveground. In the comparison with experimental data,<sup>2</sup> some discrepancies remained unresolved. Recently, a paper on "air-ground interface correction factors for gamma emitters in air"<sup>3</sup> was published. In Ref. 3 it was pointed out that the radiation field is most strongly influenced by the interface for source energies around 100 keV, suggesting a test of the discrete ordinates transport codes in this energy range.

A contribution to the resolution of the discrepancies between the results of the discrete ordinates transport codes and the experiments is given in Table I by a comparison with Monte Carlo results of Ref. 3. The air/ground interface correction factor C defined by the ratio of the kerma at 1 m aboveground and of the kerma in infinite air for the same source-detector distance has been included. For small lateral distances, the experiment may have been disturbed by the container for the gamma source. The corresponding results have been put in parentheses in Table I. For all other lateral distances, the experimental data and Monte Carlo results agree within 10%. The PALLAS code seems to overestimate the kerma at 1 m aboveground. DOT-III has the same problems for elevated sources but seems to work well for sources near the ground. Note that the discrepancies between the results of the discrete ordinates method and the experimental data (supported by the Monte Carlo method) is of the same order of magnitude as the interface effect.

Peter Jacob

GSF Institut für Strahlenschutz D-8042 Neuherberg, Federal Republic of Germany

March 31, 1986

## REFERENCES

1. N. SASAMOTO and K. TAKEUCHI, "Analysis of <sup>60</sup>Co Gamma-Ray Transport Through Air by Discrete-Ordinates Transport Codes," *Nucl. Technol.*, **47**, 189 (1980).

2. F. F. HAYWOOD, J. A. AUXIER, and E. T. LAY, "An Experimental Investigation of the Spatial Distribution of Dose in an Air-over-Ground Geometry," CEX-62.14, U.S. Atomic Energy Commission (1964).

3. P. JACOB and H. G. PARETZKE, Health Phys., 48, 183 (1985).

			$4\pi R_s^2 \cdot \dot{X} (m^2 \cdot R/h \cdot 800 \text{ Ci}^{-1} 60 \text{ Co})$			Ratios of Exposure Rates	
H <sub>s</sub> (m)	<i>R</i> s (m)	<i>C</i> <sup>3</sup>	PALLAS	Experiment <sup>2</sup>	Monte Carlo <sup>3</sup>	Experiment/ Monte Carlo	PALLAS/ Monte Carlo
8.23 8.23 8.23 8.23 8.23 8.23	121 215 370 458 680	0.97 0.84 0.69 0.63 0.55	108 81.9 48.9 35.1 13.5	(139) 78.5 39.5 27.5 8.9	104 72.6 37.8 25.4 9.3	(1.34) 1.08 1.04 1.08 0.96	1.04 1.13 1.29 1.38 1.45
343 343 343 343	400 500 600 774	1.02 0.98 0.94 0.87	53.2 40.9 21.2 11.9	(39.0) 31.4 26.5 9.9	50.2 33.7 22.0 9.9	(0.78) 0.93 0.96 1.00	1.06 1.21 1.20 1.20

 TABLE I

 Comparison of Some Results for Exposure Rates from <sup>60</sup>Co Point Sources Aboveground