

FIG. 2

gives added justification to the treatment of the higher energy resonances.

## **REFERENCES**

- t. J. HARDY, JR., G. G. SMITH, AND D. KLEIN, Resonance capture in a gold rod. *Nuclear Sci. and Eng.* 7, 263- 267 (1960).
- 2. R. D. RICHTMYER, R. VANNORTON, AND A. WOLFE, The monte carlo calculation of resonance capture in reactor lattices. *Proc. 2nd. Intern. Con}. Peaceful Uses Atomic Energy, Geneva, 1958* P/2489 (1958).
- *3.* W. ROTHENSTEIN, Collision probabilities and resonance integrals for lattices. *Nuclear Sci. and Eng.* 7, 162-171 (1960).
- 4. J. CHERNICK AND R. VERNON, Some refinements in the calculation of resonance integrals. *Nuclear Sci. and Eng.* 4, 649-669 (1958).
- 5. D. KLEIN, W. BAER, AND G. G. SMITH, Spatial distribu-

tion of U<sup>238</sup> resonance neutron capture in uranium metal rods. *Nuclear Sci. and Eng.* 3, 698-706 (1958).

- G. SMITH
- J. HARDY, JR.
- D. KLEIN

*Bettis Atomic Power Laboratory Westinghouse Electric Corporation Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania Received July 18, 1960* 

## **Some Series Occurring in the Theory of the Square Lattice Cell**

Cohen (1), Newmarch *(2),* and other authors have calculated the flux and thermal utilization in a square lattice cell: this work is of continuing interest because of its close



FIG. 1. The contour of integration.

connection with the Feinberg-Galanin theory of finite heterogeneous lattices. During the work, it is necessary to sum series of the form

$$
C_k = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{2k-1} (\coth \pi n - 1)
$$

Cohen evaluated these sums for odd values of *k* by comparing expressions for the flux in polar and Cartesian, coordinates: he did not obtain analytical expressions for even values of  $k$ . In this note it is shown that

$$
C_1 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n(\coth n\pi - 1)
$$
 (1)

can be evaluated by the normal processes of analysis, and that the result obtained agrees with Cohen's. The method should generalize to other values of *k.* 

We begin by noting that

$$
\coth n\pi - 1 = 2 \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} e^{-2nr\pi}
$$

so that

$$
C_1 = 2 \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n e^{-2n r \pi} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sinh^2 r \pi}
$$

The residue theorem therefore shows that the sum must therefore be related to the integral

$$
\int \frac{\pi \cot \pi z \, dz}{\sinh^2 \pi z}
$$

but the contour must be chosen with care, since the factor  $1/\sinh^2 \pi z$  has double poles along the imaginary axis. The contour selected is shown in Fig. 1. It consists of arcs of circles of radii  $\epsilon$  (small) and *R* (large) centered on the origin and extending from arg  $z = -\pi/4$  to arg  $z = +\pi/4$ : these arcs are joined by straight lines. As *R* tends to infinity, the integral round the contour tends to  $4\pi i \cdot C_1$ . On the upper straight line  $z = ye^{i\pi/4}$ , where y is a real variable: hence on this line

$$
\sinh \pi z = \sinh u \cos u + i \cosh u \sin u \quad (u = \pi y / \sqrt{2})
$$

On the lower straight line sinh  $\pi z$  is the complex conjugate of this quantity, while similar expressions may be written down for cot  $\pi z$ . It follows that the sum of the integrals in the clockwise direction along the two straight lines is

$$
I_1 = +4i \int_{\epsilon \pi/\sqrt{2}}^{\pi \pi/\sqrt{2}} \frac{\sinh 2u \cos 2u + \sin 2u \cosh 2u}{(\cosh 2u - \cos 2u)^2} du
$$
  
=  $-i \left[ \frac{\cosh 2u + \cos 2u}{\cosh 2u - \cos 2u} \right]_{\epsilon \pi/\sqrt{2}}^{\pi \pi/\sqrt{2}}$   
=  $-i \left\{ 1 + 0 \left( e^{-R\pi/\sqrt{2}} \right) - \frac{1}{\epsilon^2 \pi^2} + 0 \left( \epsilon^2 \right) \right\}$ 

The integral round the big arc is exponentially small if *R*  is large, while on the small circle

$$
\frac{\pi \cot \pi z}{\sinh^2 \pi z} = \frac{1}{\pi^2 z^3} - \frac{2}{3z} + 0(z)
$$

so that the sum of the integrals taken clockwise round the arcs is

$$
I_2 = 0(e^{-R\pi/\sqrt{2}}) - i\left\{\frac{1}{\pi^2\epsilon^2} - \frac{\pi}{3} + 0(\epsilon^2)\right\}
$$

Then

(1955).

(1) 
$$
C_1 = \frac{1}{4\pi i} (I_1 + I_2) = \frac{1}{12} - \frac{1}{4\pi}
$$
 (2)

as  $\epsilon$  is allowed to tend to zero and R to infinity. Equation (2) agrees with Cohen's result.

## **REFERENCES**

1. E. R. COHEN, *Nuclear Sci. and Eng.* **1**, 268 (1956). 2. A. C. CLARK AND D. A. NEWMARCH, AERE RP/R 1657

D. C. LESLIE

*United Kingdom Atomic Energy Authority Winfrith, Dorchester, Dorset, England Received January, 1960*