## Letters to the Editor

## **Comment on a Paper Discussing Hot-Channel Factors**

Reference is made to a recent paper by Judge and Bohl<sup>1</sup> discussing hot-channel factors for "flat" power reactors. As pointed out by Nelson and Minkler,<sup>2</sup> the calculation of the nonfailure probability of a core must take into account each channel, whether "hot" or not, of the core. Therefore, the effect of power flattening on confidence level should not be evaluated by comparison of a "flat" core with the one hot channel of a "peaked" core having the same total output, but, rather, with all the channels of this latter core. This is because<sup>3</sup> the design limit may be reached in a channel which, according to the reference design, is not the hottest, with the nominal hot channel still operating below this limit. Accounting for this should lead to a reduction of the penalty attached to power flattening.

Similar considerations apply to hot-spot calculations; however, the number of "spots" being infinite, a practical definition of the spot<sup>4</sup> must be related to the specific mode of failure one wishes to guard against.

Henri M. Guéron

15 West 81st Street New York, New York 10024

May 11, 1967

<sup>4</sup>H. M. GUÉRON, "The Treatment of Uncertainties in the Thermal Design of Nuclear Reactors," PhD Thesis, Dept. of Nuclear Engineering, Massachusetts Institute of Technology (1966).

## Reply To Comment on a Paper Discussing Hot-Channel Factors

The point is correct and a quantitative assessment for a specific real case (a reactor with a cosine power shape) is presented in a Technical Note recently submitted to *Nuclear Science and Engineering* for publication.

F. D. Judge

Knolls Atomic Power Laboratory Schenectady, New York 12301

May 24, 1967

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>F. D. JUDGE and L. S. BOHL, *Nucl. Sci. Eng.*, **28**, 296 (1967). <sup>2</sup>A. C. NELSON, Jr. and W. S. MINKLER, *Nucl. Sci. Eng.*, **19**, 101 (1963).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>H. M. GUÉRON and H. FENECH, Trans. Am. Nucl. Soc., 9, 563 (1966).